# Nutritional Value of Desert Fan Palm Fruits

#### JAMES W. CORNETT

Palm Springs Desert Museum, 101 Museum Drive, Palm Springs, CA 92263

The Cahuilla Indians of the Southern California deserts were known to utilize fruits and seeds of the desert fan palm, Washingtonia filifera, as a food resource (Parry 1881, Chase 1919). The fruits ripened in late summer through early fall with healthy trees producing up to 15 infructescenes per palm and up to 18 kg of fruit per infructescence (Cornett 1985). In wet years, the total harvest could reach 600,000 fruits and a total of 270 kg per tree. Cahuilla women usually sun-dried the fruits and stored them in clay jars. Later, the entire fruits would be soaked in water and then ground into flour in bedrock mortars (Cornett 1987). The relative importance of this food resource has not been determined although Indian villages and campsites are frequently associated with desert fan palm oases (Cultural Systems Research 1983, Cornett 1987). Information on the nutritional value of W. filifera fruits could shed light on their value as a food resource.

Fruits, including seeds, were analyzed for their nutritional content by Shankman Laboratories in Los Angeles, CA. The results are listed in Table 1 along with data on the nutritional value of singleleaf pinyon (Pinus monophylla), honey mesquite (Prosopis juliflora), and black oak (Quercus kelloggii), other food plants of the Cahuilla (Bean and Saubel 1972). Of special interest is the comparison between fruits of the desert fan palm and mesquite. Mesquite is a common oasis plant whose importance as a food resource is often touted by anthropologists (Bean and Saubel 1972, Heizer and Elsasser 1980). Nutritional information on two other palm genera has been included as they are known to occur within oases containing W. filifera.

Based on a content of 384 calories per 100 g of fruit and a 3,000 calorie daily requirement, the fruit harvest from a single desert fan palm would provide a human with enough food for up to 200 days. In addition, as shown in Table 1, the carbohydrate percentage of desert fan palm fruits is considerably higher than other Indian food plants including the mesquite. However, desert fan palm fruits have significantly less protein; less than half that of mesquite. This information compliments the early literature regarding palm fruit usage by suggesting that W. filifera fruits had the potential of being an important dietary mainstay. The situation could have. been similar to the role of the date palm, *Phoenix* spp., in the old world.

### Acknowledgments

I thank Jule Ann Huffnagle for her assistance in securing palm fruits. This study was made possible through a grant from the Richard King Mellon Foundation.

### LITERATURE CITED

- ATCHLY, A. A. 1984. Nutrional value of palms. Principes 28: 138-143.
- BEAN, L. J. AND K. S. SAUBEL. 1972. Temalpakh. Malki Museum Press, Banning. 255 pp.
- CHASE, J. S. 1919. California desert trails. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston. 387 pp.
- CORNETT, J. W. AND J. M. STEWART. 1986. Palm burning and increased spadix production. Southwestern Naturalist 31:552–553.
- CORNETT, J. W. 1985. Unpublished Notes. Located at: The Palm Springs Desert Museum, Palm Springs, CA.

Species of Fruit	Cal	Pro	Fat	Carbo	Fib ,	Ash	Ca	Ph	Ir	Α	Th	С	Ni	Ribo
Washingtonia filifera <sup>1</sup>	348	3.1	2.8	7.77	10.4	5.4	110	89	7.8	180	0.06	5.0	1.0	0.13
(desert Ian palm) Pinus monophylla <sup>2</sup>	1	10	23	54	I	ſ	I	I		ľ	ľ	ľ	l	l
(singleleat pinyon) Prosopis juliflora <sup>3</sup>	I	8.3	2.4	52.0	I	Ĩ		I		I	I	I	I	I
(noney mesquite) Quercus kelloggii <sup>3</sup>	1	4.6	18.0	55.5	11.4	1.6	I	1	1		1	1	]	]
(Dlack oak) Brahea sp. <sup>4</sup> (blue palm)	I	5.6	9.2	-	Į,	I	l			I	1		I	I
Phoenix dactylifera <sup>4</sup>	354	2.8	0.6	94.1	3.0	2.5	76.1	81.3	3.9	38.7	0.12	0.0	2.84	0.13
(date palm) Barley <sup>5</sup>	I	8.7	1.9	71.0	5.7	Ī	Ţ	I	I	ľ	1	I	ľ	I
Wheat <sup>5</sup>	]	11.4	1.0	75.4	0.2	]	1	.1	1		1	l	I	I
Corn <sup>5</sup>		9.2	1.9	74.4	1.0	I	I	1	1	1		1		]

Reference codes: 1, Shankman Laboratories; 2, Lanner 1981; 3, Bean and Saubel 1972; 4, Atchley 1984; 5, Heizer and Elsasser 1980.

160

[Vol. 31



1. Fruits of Washingtonia filifera.

——. 1987. Indians and desert fan palms. Masterkey 60(4): 12–17.

- CULTURAL SYSTEMS RESEARCH, INC. 1983. Paniktum hemki. Prepared for the Andreas Cove Country Club, City of Palm Springs, CA. 335 pp.
- HEIZER, R. F. AND A. B. ELSASSER. 1980. The natural world of the California Indians. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- LANNER, R. M. 1981. The pinyon pine: a natural and cultural history. University of Nevada Press, Reno.
- PARRY, C. C. 1881. The desert palm. San Francisco Evening Bulletin, March 24, 1881, p. 4, col. 1.

## CLASSIFIED

BACK ISSUES OF PRINCIPES. From July 1957 through April 1987. 114 issues, only four missing. All in good condition. Will sell for \$80 or to the highest bidder. R. O. SCHNABEL, 149 Newell Circle, Napa, CA 94558.

DWARF RHAPIS EXCELSA, Seven green and variegated varieties available. NEW BOOK, "Secret of the Orient," a comprehensive guide to **Rhapis** palms—52 pages fully illustrated. Catalogue \$1. Book and catalogue \$5 ppd. ("Secret of the Orient" is also available from The Palm Society Bookstore). RHAPIS GARDENS—PS, P.O.D. 287, GREGORY, TX 78349.