Principes, 25(4), 1981, pp. 178-180

# A Reassessment of the Genus Lophospatha Burret

## J. DRANSFIELD AND J. P. MOGEA

Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, U. K. and Herbarium Bogoriense, LBN-LIPI, J1. Raya Juanda 22-24, Bogor, Indonesia

### Abstract

New collections of Salacca clemensiana from Sabah show a close relationship but not identity with the monotypic genus Lophospatha. Examination of available herbarium material suggests the inclusion of Lophospatha in Salacca and the new combination Salacca lophospatha is published.

Lophospatha, a lepidocaryoid palm with a single species L. borneensis, was based by Burret on fragmentary material collected by the Clemens in 1931/32 at Dalas on the western slopes of Kinabalu in Sabah (Burret 1942). The collection, J. & M. S. Clemens 26380, was widely distributed but very incomplete; we assume that the holotype in Berlin is no longer extant, but isotype material in BM, BO, K, L, and SING consists of a few staminate rachillae and leaf fragments. Although the material is so incomplete, the leaflet and rachilla structure appears to approach that of Salacca, and on the basis of this similarity the genus was included, without explanation, as a synonym of Salacca by Moore (1973). In preparing a monograph of Salacca, one of us (J. P. M.) felt unable to reach any firm conclusion on the status of Lophospatha as the material was so poor. The re-finding of Lophospatha in Sabah was thus a high priority. Recently, while undertaking rattan fieldwork in Sabah, the other author (J. D.) was able to find a palm with features of the genus, albeit not in the type locality but about 30 km to the south, and so there is now material sufficient to re-examine the status of *Lophospatha*.

The Lophospatha-like palm was discovered beside the new road between Kota Kinabalu and Tambunan (Sinsuron Road) at an altitude of ca. 1,000 m on the northwestern slopes of the Crocker Range. A colony of about twenty plants was found growing in much disturbed forest, transitional between hill dipterocarp and lower montane forest, in a damp hollow on a steep hillslope. In habit the plants are entirely reminiscent of a Salacca; the stems are very short, subterranean or partially erect, and bear leaves to about 4 m long, the sheaths and petioles densely armed with black spines. The leaflets are strongly discolorous, dark green on the adaxial, chalkywhite on the abaxial surfaces, and the two terminal leaflets are compound, two features which are widespread in Salacca, though not diagnostic. Of even greater significance is that the inflorescences emerge from grooves in the abaxial surface of the leaf sheath, a diagnostic character of Salacca. The pistillate inflorescence of the Crocker Range plant does not differ from those of Salacca and the fruit is covered in scales with spine-like reflexed tips. The staminate inflorescence, however, is more or less pendulous and does indeed have discrete rachilla bracts,



1. Staminate inflorescence of Salacca clemensiana, Crocker Range, Sabah. (August 1979)

which, incidentally, are a clear pink at anthesis. Unfortunately some of the best material that was collected has been mislaid but we have had the opportunity to study the Sandakan and Bogor sets of the staminate plant (J. D. 5538).

Initial impressions in the field suggested that Lophospatha borneensis had indeed been refound; however comparison between the type of Lophospatha borneensis, the new Sabah collection, and the Kew material of Salacca clemensiana suggests that the new collection is Salacca clemensiana, the first record for Borneo of a palm otherwise known from Mindanao, Philippines. Sufficient differences appear to exist between L. borneensis and S. clemensiana for them to be retained as distinct species, although the material of the former is very incomplete.



2. Rachilla of Salacca clemensiana showing staminate flowers and the discrete rachilla bracts.

Burret distinguishes Lophospatha from Salacca mainly on the nature of the rachilla bracts of the staminate inflorescence which are discrete in Lophospatha but joined laterally in Salacca. Burret seemed to be quite unaware that Beccari had already described a bract type similar to that of



3. Pistillate rachilla of *Salacca clemensiana* showing the strongly reflexed petals, which are crimson when fresh.

L. borneensis as occurring in Salacca clemensiana.

The problem of whether Lophospatha is generically distinct from Salacca can now be reassessed. As described above, the only significant difference between the two genera according to Burret is the discrete versus laterally-joined rachilla bracts. We do not believe this sufficient to separate Lophospatha, especially as in every other respect Lophospatha is a Salacca, and S. clemensiana shows this very feature. Most significantly an intermediate state occurs in the staminate inflorescence of Salacca dubia Becc. where the rachilla bracts are united by their bases but do not form horizontal rings (Beccari 1918).

As the epithet "borneensis" has already been used in the genus Salacca (Salacca borneensis Becc. = S. affinis Griff. var. borneensis (Becc.) Furtado), a new epithet is required if Lophospatha is to be included in Salacca.

Salacca lophospatha J. Dransf. & Mogea nom. nov. Type: Borneo. Sabah, J. & M.S. Clemens 26380 (holotype B(?); isotypes BM, BO, K, L, SING) Lophospatha borneensis Burret in Notizbl. Bot. Gart. Mus. Berlin-Dahlem 15: 753. 1942 (non Salacca borneensis Becc. 1886). Type: as above. Salacca lophospatha can be distinguished from S. clemensiana as follows:

Unfortunately S. lophospatha will remain an incompletely known species until more material can be collected; it is possible that it represents a robust form of S. clemensiana.

#### Acknowledgments

Thanks are due to A. J. Hepburn and Mustafa bin Abdul Rahman for help in finding and collecting the palm; the loan of material from Sandakan is also gratefully acknowledged.

#### **Literature Cited**

- BECCARI, O. 1918. Asiatic Palms: Lepidocaryeae. III. The species of the genera Ceratolobus, Calospatha, Plectocomia, Plectocomiopsis, Myrialepis, Zalacca, Pigafetta, Korthalsia, Metroxylon, Eugeissona. Ann. Roy. Bot. Gard. Calcutta 12(2).
- BURRET, M. 1942. Neue Palmen aus der Grüppe der Lepidocaryoideae. Notizbl. Bot. Gart. Mus. Berlin-Dahlem 15: 728-755.
- MOORE, H. E., JR. 1973. The major groups of palms and their distribution. Gentes Herb. 11: 27-140.

#### Erratum

Pinanga sanarani on p. 80 (Vol. 25) should read Pinanga samarana.